

After History: Alexandre Kojève as a Photographer

Exhibition and Programs

Apr 9	Miriam Ghani Lev Manovich Nicholas Mirzoeff Natalie Musteata Christiane Paul McKenzie Wark
Apr 10	Alexandre Kojève
Apr 11	Mark Allen Nova Benway Mary Walling Blackburn Katherine Carl Ajay Singh Chaudhary Taeyoon Choi Carla Herrera-Prats Mimi Hui Abby Kluchin Michael Mandiberg Haley Mellin Jen Messier J. Morgan Puett Jon Santiago Jonathan Soma Srdjan Jovanović Weiss Caroline Woolard
Apr 12–13	Boris Groys
Apr 19	Celia Lury Chris Salter
Apr 26	Andrew Herscher Ana Janevski Vladimir Kulić Fabio Mattioli Ana Miljački Nadia Peručić Srdjan Jovanović Weiss Jonah Westerman
Apr 29	Stanley Aronowitz

**After History:
Alexandre Kojève as a Photographer**

**The James Gallery
The Center for the Humanities
The Graduate Center, CUNY**

**365 Fifth Avenue at 35th Street
New York, NY 10016**

centerforthehumanities.org/james-gallery

**Free and Open to the Public
Tue to Thur, 12-7pm
Fri and Sat, 12-6pm**

April 10-June 1, 2013

The experimental exhibition "After History: Alexandre Kojève as a Photographer" curated by Boris Groys presents the photographs, collected postcards, and hand-drawn itineraries of the French-Russian philosopher Alexandre Kojève (1902–1968) to compose a visual exposition of his philosophy. Kojève's lectures on Hegel in Paris before World War II deeply influenced critical thinkers of the post-World War II generation, and among his students were Jacques Lacan and Maurice Merleau-Ponty. He expressed in his writings on posthistory that a commitment to certain aesthetic attitudes has replaced the more traditional "historic" commitment to the truth. Groys asserts that discourses of biopolitics put forward by Michel Foucault, Giorgio Agamben, and Gilles Deleuze are indebted to Kojève's work. The project presents the philosopher's world view in the tumultuous postwar era as colonial history was being played out between the West and the so-called "Third World."

Looking at the photographs Kojève snapped, fifty years after the philosopher traveled the world, one reflects more on the time that has elapsed in between then and now than on the evidence of the moment when they were taken. In this way the photographs remind us of how the archive serves as a document of the future rather than of the past. In counterpoint, Kojève's collection of postcards, which are iconic images known the world over, accrue meaning as they circulate, to become more than the stand-in for the paintings or cathedrals themselves. The third element of the exhibition, Kojève's hand-drawn itineraries of the process of travel, navigate diagrammatically through these two different types of photographic record.

Kojève traveled through a world that had run out of time. The world was, in his thinking, in a state of post-history—after the exhaustion of teleological progress. The global as well as technological re-organization of geo-political space and sovereignty that occurred at the time and since is not taken into account by his detached "neutral" (as Groys notes) eye.

However, in each personal snapshot there is a striking tension between his viewpoint as a Hegelian scholar, and his position as a visitor to worlds of which he was not a part.

In a similar vein, rather than providing a whole overview for an all-seeing observer, the spatial scenario in the James presents the projections of the photographs as an almost immersive field punctuated by columns and viewing points that make self-conscious reference to the viewer's physical relationship to the image. Kojève's imagery, now rendered through today's digital technology, takes spatial form as an experimental project in the James Gallery. Furthermore even as the curator's relationship to the visual material as a philosopher, researcher and gatherer echoes Kojève's own, Groys' is a markedly different enterprise. Kojève's photographic project can be seen as an appropriation of his current moment -- almost as a readymade, compiled as an antidote to the condition of post-history which leaves no hope for a different tomorrow, and in Kojève's mind, a stark choice between animality or artistry. As Maria Hlavajova and Gwen Parry point out in their foreword to the exhibition at BAK Utrecht, that organization's long-term research project FORMER WEST "locates its research in the post-1989 period in order to enable speculation about other global futures—to imagine things otherwise." It is in this spirit of research and presentation of art that provides innovations to our generally held assumptions about modernism that the James Gallery presents Kojève's work.

It is with great admiration and thanks to Boris Groys, Maria Hlavajova and Gwen Parry that the James Gallery partners with BAK Utrecht to bring this research exhibition from the FORMER WEST project to its only venue in the United States.

— Katherine Carl

The Photographer as the Sage Boris Groys

Our cultural memory can be entered in very different ways. Some authors assert their historical presence with their first book, artwork, or film, and the public can then follow their personal trajectories from early on in their careers. We experience such authors as always already familiar to us. Other authors are only partially present and exposed to the public view during their lifetimes. It is only after their deaths, and very slowly, that their figures begin to emerge and take shape in the public memory and imagination. As a rule, such known but unfamiliar authors are cultural migrants endowed with complicated and fragmentary biographies. Alexandre Kojève was one such cultural migrant, and even if he is well known to specialists in twentieth century philosophy and political history, he has remained rather unfamiliar to the greater public and his historical figure has only recently begun to take on a distinct shape.

Kojève was born in 1902 in Moscow as Aleksandr Kozhevnikov. His family was rich, politically well connected, and culturally aware. It is important to mention that he was the painter Wassily Kandinsky's nephew. Kojève left Soviet Russia in 1919 for Germany, where he kept himself afloat by doing business, and, at the same time, was studying philosophy. In 1926 he received his doctorate in philosophy from the University of Heidelberg under the direction of German psychiatrist and philosopher Karl Jaspers—one of the most important representatives, besides Martin Heidegger, of the phenomenological school of philosophy that was founded by their teacher Edmund Husserl. But it was not until after his emigration to France in 1933 that Kojève developed his own philosophical discourse on the “post-histoire” [post-history], which in many ways defined our understanding of postmodernity, and thus our present moment.

Indeed, since the emergence of the discourse on postmodernity several decades ago, we have repeatedly been confronted with the

discourse on the end of not only history but also, of subjectivity, art, as well as the death of man, the death of the author in particular, and the impossibility of creativity and the new in present culture. This discourse has its origin in the course of lectures on Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel's *Phenomenology of Spirit* (1807) that was given by Kojève at l'École des hautes études in Paris from 1933 to 1939. This course was regularly attended by leading French intellectuals such as Georges Bataille, Jacques Lacan, André Breton, Maurice Merleau-Ponty, and Raymond Aron. The transcripts of Kojève's lectures circulated in Parisian intellectual circles and were widely read, notably by Jean-Paul Sartre and Albert Camus. These lectures—they were known under the simple title Séminaire—acquired a semi-mythical status at that time and kept this status almost until now. While the discourse on the impending end of history is not new, Kojève's approach offers something different in proposing that the end of history does not wait for us in the future as is usually thought. Rather, the end of history already took place in the past, namely, during the Napoleonic wars—as it was certified by Hegelian philosophy. According to Kojève, the end of history came into being through the French Revolution as it enabled the universal recognition of the human desires. We have thus already lived after the end of history and under the post-historical condition for a relatively long time. We are just not fully aware of this condition, yet.

This transfer of the end of history from the future into the past came as news when Kojève tried to make the notion plausible to his audience. However, Kojève consistently maintained that he never tried to say anything new, because saying anything new had become impossible. He pretended to simply repeat, reproduce the text of Hegel's *Phenomenology of Spirit* without adding anything to it. Beyond some short articles, Kojève never published any of his philosophical writings during his lifetime. His course on Hegel published after WWII titled *Introduction à la lecture de Hegel: leçons sur la Phénoménologie de l'Esprit professées de 1933 à 1939 à l'École des Hautes Études*

(1947) is a fairly loose patchwork of texts and notes written by Kojève alongside transcripts made by those who attended the lectures. This heterogeneous collection of text fragments was produced not by Kojève himself, but by the Surrealist writer Raymond Queneau. After the war, Kojève abandoned philosophy altogether because to philosophize after the end of history did not make sense to him anymore. Instead, Kojève began a diplomatic-bureaucratic career. As a representative of France in the European Commission, Kojève became one of the creators of the contemporary European Union. He worked out an agreement on the European Common Customs Tariff, which is still one of the important pillars of the European economic system. Kojève died from a heart attack during one of the meetings of the European Commission in 1968. One could say that Kojève was a kind of Arthur Rimbaud of modern bureaucracy—a philosophical writer who consciously became a martyr of the post-historical bureaucratic order.

Today, the discourse on post-historicity, or postmodernity—both marking an era beyond a belief in progress—is ubiquitous in the art context: almost nobody believes in the possibility of creating a new artistic form. But we still have no single example—with the exception of Kojève's texts—of theoretical writing that proclaims its own complete non-originality. We have a lot of such examples in the field of literature and art but not in the field of theory. Maurice Blanchot, Michel Foucault, or Jacques Derrida—who have written extensively about the death of the author—never said that their own texts were completely non-original, that they were in fact merely the repetitions of existing and well-known theoretical writing. Under our postmodern condition, theory is the last area where the claim of originality is allowed and even required from the writer. So the case of Kojève continues to be unique and exceptional. Through his proto-postmodern claim of non-originality, Kojève is the only philosophical writer who can be compared to artists Marcel Duchamp and Andy Warhol, or Pierre Menard (the hero of the famous tale by Jorge Luis Borges). That is why the

singularity of the Kojévian claim of his own non-originality calls for even more attention than his philosophical ideas themselves.

Now, the Kojévian contention that we live after the end of history could easily be misunderstood as an expression of the uncritical acceptance of the political status quo. It would seem that if history already came to an end then political change is no longer possible. However, Kojève's political position is rather that of an activist. When Kojève speaks about the end of history he means the end of a search for the optimal social order. He believes, however, that this search was successful. According to the Kojévian interpretation of Hegel, the optimal social and political order is embodied by the secular, universal, and homogeneous state in which every human being is equally recognized. Such a state was already envisioned by Napoleon after the French Revolution, and well over a century later, an attempt to create such a state was undertaken again following the Russian October Revolution. Like Karl Marx before him, Kojève believes that after the emergence of Hegelian philosophy, the time had come not to interpret the world, but to change it.

But, unlike Marx, Kojève is interested not in revolutionary but in post-revolutionary politics. When a revolution succeeds what should happen on the day after? The universal and homogeneous state can be revolutionarily proclaimed, but what does it mean to build such a state? If one takes a look at the political reality of our present moment, it becomes obvious that we still do not live in a universal and homogeneous state. The financial markets have become globalized but politics are still national and local. We still live in a plurality of nation states that are driven by national egoism. And these states are in no way homogeneous, rather, they are characterized by a growing gap between rich and poor, as well as by multiple ethnic conflicts. Beyond that we are confronted today with the neoliberal discourse directed against the state in all its forms—especially against the social state, state support for education and culture, etc. The concept of

the universal and homogeneous state is, indeed, already formulated and the modern revolutions opened a way to its realization, but this state by no means became a political reality. Thus, it would be wrong to identify the Kojévian interpretation of the end of history with its interpretation in Francis Fukuyama's essay "The End of History?" published in 1989 that popularized the notion of the end of history. Fukuyama uses Kojévian thought in a very substantial manner. In fact, his book can be seen as an effect of rewriting and updating Kojève's *Introduction à la lecture de Hegel*. However, Fukuyama misses the central point of Kojève's political philosophy: the universal and homogeneous state also remains a project after the revolution—an abstraction that needs to be realized through the efforts of the sage. In this respect Kojève's political philosophy is as relevant today as it was in his time.

Kojève's characterization of his own attitude is consistent with his consideration of what it means to secure the homogeneous state. Instead of characterizing his attitude as that of the philosopher, he believed his attitude to be that of the sage. The philosopher is moved by his desire for absolute knowledge, by his love of Sophia. The ideal of absolute knowledge can be realized, according to Kojève, only under the conditions of mutual recognition of all human beings. In other words, the philosopher strives for self-sacrifice and revolution in the name of the state in which universal recognition would become reality. In contrast, the sage is somebody who has already satisfied his desire for revolutionary change; he is a philosopher in a post-revolutionary, post-historical society. The sage does not need to invent a new revolution, but to implement the program of the revolution that already took place. In other words, the sage deals with the administration of the post-revolutionary state. The sage becomes a functionary or, to use the term that was introduced by philosopher Julien Benda in his famous essay "La trahison des clercs" (1927), a clerk of this post-revolutionary state. And by being a clerk the sage has to actively realize the goals that had only been proclaimed by the revolution, that is, the universal and

homogeneous state in which everybody is recognized to the same degree. As Kojève says, the philosopher strives for success—specifically in literary output, or, today, media presence—but the sage strives for achievement.

According to Kojève, post-historical reality is defined by a divorce between the content of human desire, which is the animal hunger for food and sex, and the specifically human, cultural, symbolic form that this desire has historically taken. The post-historical man struggles only for satisfaction of his desires of the first degree, his animal desires, but not for the desire of the second degree, the properly human, or even, according to Kojève, "anthropogenic" desire to be recognized. The return of humans to their elementary animal desires is an effect of establishing the universal and homogeneous state. Yet such a return simultaneously endangers this state, since post-historical humanity tends to forget its history and even the end of history and the essence of the post-historical condition. Thus, the role of the sage is to keep the historical memory intact—to defend and reaffirm the historical project of the universal and homogeneous state. The sage does not strive for a new revolution but prevents the achievements of the previous revolutions from being lost.


After he had developed his understanding of the role of the post-revolutionary intellectual, and once WWII had ended, Kojève began working as a clerk by representing France in different international institutions. He began to collect postcards with images of historical monuments and works of art, and to practice photography. Kojève produced more than 5,000 photographs and collected many thousands of postcards that one can find in his archive at the Bibliothèque nationale de France in Paris. The photographs that Kojève made during his travels reflect his administrative view of the world combined with a certain post-historical melancholy. On the surface of it, Kojève's photography simply documents the travels that he undertook in his role as political dignitary, mostly in Europe and Asia between 1959 and 1968.



Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through China in 1967.




Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through the Soviet Union in 1960.




Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through the Soviet Union in 1960.




Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through India in 1959.




Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through Japan in 1959.





Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through Iran in 1965.



Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through India in 1968.




Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through China in 1967.



Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through France in 1961.



Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojeve during his travels through Nepal in 1968.



Photograph taken by Alexandre Kojève during his travels through Nepal in 1968.

However, one could argue that Kojève's photographic work is a continuation of his philosophy by other means.

First of all, every photographic practice has a lot in common with administrative work. In the old days, painters produced images through the immediate involvement of their bodies. The painter was basically a handworker. But photographers are not manual workers. Rather, they do everything that an administrator does. They select, choose a standpoint, collect, or discard. Their gaze is a sovereign gaze. It does not produce images but consumes and orders them. At the same time, the figure of the traveling administrator, like Kojève, reminds one of the flaneur, as it was described by Walter Benjamin—of the tourist in search of profane illuminations, for example, images that fascinate in spite of their ordinary, profane character. For Benjamin such images are images that produce in the subject the feeling of happiness, of fullness of life (like the Italian landscape that Benjamin evokes in his famous 1936 essay, "The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction"). However, Kojève is much more interested in the images of the past, in the historical monuments that remind one of the time before the end of history. Kojève's photographs manifest and confirm his faithfulness to the event of history, in the midst of post-historical reality.

Photography often tries to catch a unique moment of life, to eternalize the fleeting "now" with the click of a camera. But Kojève totally ignores the chances that are offered by photography to stop the flow of time. Instead, he photographs only the monuments that already relate to the past, that already represent arrested, immobilized time. In this respect, Kojève makes no exception for his native Russia. The Soviet Union that Kojève had visited in the 1950s and 1960s looked very different from the Russia that he left in 1919. Nevertheless, Kojève does not let himself be fascinated by the new Soviet reality. Instead, he obstinately photographs old Russian churches. Looking at his images, one would wonder in which century they were taken. At the same time, Kojève was anything

but an Orthodox Christian believer. Quite on the contrary, Kojève adamantly proclaimed his own consequent and uncompromising atheism. Thus, Kojève indicates—with his photographic strategy—that Soviet socialism is for him merely another version of the same mode of existence in the post-history that is characteristic of states in the West.

As indicated earlier, Kojève collected many thousands of postcards representing different historical monuments and artworks from different countries—a part of this collection is on display in the present exhibition. Kojève adopts this anonymous and highly conventional postcard style in making his own photographs. It is possible to say that Kojève photographs photography itself—by appropriating the style of postcard photography and repeating it. Kojève's photography celebrates the disappearance of the subject in the neutrality, anonymity, and objectivity of the camera's gaze. One can see here a parallel to Warhol's paintings or Richard Prince's early photographs that practiced the same neutral, emotionless repetition of images of American mass culture. Surely, at first glance Kojève repeats not the mass culture of his time, but represents the monuments of the glorious historical past of Europe. But Kojève does it in a style of cheap, mass-produced postcards and in this way establishes a connection to the artistic concerns of his time.

It is no accident that the artistic practice and discourse of post-history and postmodernity took photography as its main point of reference. The initially reproductive, non-original character of photography has made it the leading medium of our time. Indeed, in today's society, photography takes place continuously and ubiquitously. Anyone who looks at a photographic image will often enough find himself in the position of both photographer and photographic model. Here again the social status of photography differs from that of painting. Not everybody is a painter, and not everybody is painted. But every observer of a photograph is, at least potentially, a photographer or a photographic model. And everybody who

looks at a photograph finds it easy to identify with the photographer's strategies of self-dramatization, self-concealment, narcissism, or voyeurism.

In the context of his photographic work, Kojève continues the strategy of original non-originality that he already pursued in his philosophical writing. Applied to photography, this strategy raises an old but still valid question: is photography art? To take Kojève as an example, can we interpret his photography as artistic work? For a relatively long time an artwork was defined as something other than an ordinary object or image. But in our postmodern times a visually recognizable difference between an artwork and an ordinary object ceased to be a criterion for art. Accordingly, an individual photograph made with artistic intent needs not differ visibly from an ordinary photograph any more in order to be recognized as art. Today the difference is produced in the placing of an individual photograph in a certain aesthetic, ideological, or political context. Thus, photographs by Kojève could be seen, when considered individually, as ordinary tourist photographs since Kojève consciously made them in a stylistically neutral manner. But they can and should be considered as artistic works when they are considered within the context of Kojève's philosophy and political practice—because then the overall artistic strategy emerges behind the surface of the individual images.


Over time Kojève's strategy shifted. While his images of Europe and Russia are consistently post-historical, their mood changes when he begins to photograph Asia. Already in the context of his analysis of abstract paintings by his uncle Kandinsky, Kojève spoke about the commitment to pure form that could save post-historical humanity from falling into pure animality now that history has come to an end. During his travels through Japan Kojève believed he discovered that Japanese culture was the best manifestation of a commitment to pure form, contending that he would prefer the Japanization of Europe to its Americanization. In his famous footnote to the second edition of his *Introduc-*

tion à la lecture de Hegel, Kojève writes that his voyage to Japan in 1959 has shown him a society in which self-sacrifice (in the form of gratuitous suicide) as well as Noh theater, the tea ceremony, etc., also remain possible after the end of history. Here the subject, he writes, is still opposed to the object in the name of pure form—even if the historical action negating "the given" disappears. And for Kojève the ability to say "no" to reality as it is remains the only criterion for humans to preserve their humanity. In relation to Japanese culture, Kojève spoke about "snobbery" and noted that an animal can never be a snob. That is why Kojève's photographs of Asia, and especially Japan, present a harmony between humans, architecture, and nature that Kojève missed in Europe. Thus, in Asia, Kojève has found his profane illumination, after all.

Alexandre Kojève (born 1902, died 1968) was a Russian-born French political philosopher and diplomat whose philosophical lectures and writings substantially influenced twentieth-century French philosophy. Kojève studied in Heidelberg under the direction of the German psychiatrist and philosopher Karl Jaspers. He wrote his dissertation on the Russian religious philosopher Vladimir Soloviev, a man who was strongly influenced by ideas of Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel. Kojève's idiosyncratic rearticulation of the Hegelian concept of "desire" was highly influential, introducing the notion of contemporary philosophy of his time and inspiring the discourse of Surrealists and post-war Freudian philosophy. His investigation of the concept of desire also informed his "end-of-history" thesis, positing the end of ideological history as taking place during the French Revolution and creating a new kind of human experience. This thesis influenced a generation of renowned theorists and thinkers including Michel Foucault, Jacques Derrida, Jacques Lacan, and Francis Fukuyama, who, in his influential 1989 article "The End of History?", adopted many of Kojève's articulations. Kojève's philosophical stances have been elaborated on in his writings on art, in his correspondence with his uncle, artist Wassily Kandinsky, and after WWII through his political career with the French Ministry of Economic Affairs as a chief planner of the European Common Market, which later became the European Union. Most influential was Kojève's lecture series on Hegel's *Phenomenology of Spirit* (1807), which he taught at l'École des hautes études, Paris, between 1933 and 1939. These seminars were attended by a new generation of French intellectuals such as Maurice Merleau-Ponty, Ramond Aron, Georges Bataille, and Andre Breton. Notes from these lectures accompanied an introductory text written by Kojève were first published by poet Raymond Queneau under the title: *Introduction à la lecture de Hegel: leçons sur la Phénoménologie de l'Esprit professées de 1933 à 1939 à l'École des Hautes Études* (1947), followed decades later by Allan Bloom's English translation, *Introduction to the Reading of Hegel: Lectures on the Phenomenology of*

Spirit (1969). Kojève's numerous lectures—published many years after their delivery—include: *L'idée du déterminisme dans la physique classique et dans la physique moderne* (1990); *Esquisse d'une phénoménologie du droit* (1981); and *Le Concept, le Temps et le Discours* (1990).

Boris Groys is a philosopher, art critic, essayist, and curator who teaches modern Russian philosophy, French poststructuralism, and contemporary media. He is the Global Distinguished Professor of Russian and Slavic Studies at New York University, New York and Professor for Philosophy and Media Theory at the Academy for Design (Hochschule für Gestaltung) in Karlsruhe. Together with Peter Weibel he organized Medium Religion, ZKM, Karlsruhe, 2008–2009 on the medial aspect of religion. Groys's recent exhibitions include "Thinking in Loop: Three Videos on Iconoclasm, Ritual and Immortality", at apexart, New York, 2008, and EFLUX SHOW. He also directed The Post-Communist Condition research project at ZKM, Karlsruhe and published *Das kommunistische Postskriptum* (2005). His other recent publications include: *Under Suspicion: A Phenomenology of Media* (2012); *Introduction to Antiphilosophy* (2012); *The Communist Postscripts* (2010); *Going Public* (2010); *Art Power* (2008); *Ilya Kabakov. The Man Who Flew Into Space From His Apartment* (2006); *Dream Factory Communism: The Visual Culture of the Stalin Period* (2004); and *The Total Art of Stalinism: Avant-Garde, Aesthetic Dictatorship, and Beyond* (1992). Groys lives and works in New York.



Exhibition Checklist

1-8. Schematic itineraries made by Alexandre Kojève

Postcards collected by Alexandre Kojève on his travels through:

9-11. France

12. Italy

13. The Soviet Union

14. Austria, Belgium, Czechoslovakia (Czech Republic and Slovakia), Finland, Hungary, Luxembourg, The Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom

15. Italy

16. Brazil, Chile, Cuba, Mexico, Portugal, Spain, Tahiti, and the United States

17. Algeria, Cambodia, China, Egypt, Hong Kong, India, Japan, Malaysia, Morocco, Thailand, and Tunisia

Photographs taken by Alexandre Kojève on his travels through:

18. China, 1967

19. Japan, 1959 and 1967

20. India, 1959 and 1968; Ceylon (Sri Lanka), 1968; Nepal, 1968

21. Soviet Union, 1968

22. Italy, 1963-4; Spain, 1963; Switzerland, 1963

23. France, 1959

24. Iran, 1965 and 1968

Resources

Drury, Shadia B.. *Alexandre Kojève: the roots of postmodern politics*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1994.

Kojève, Alexandre and Raymond Queneau. *Introduction à la lecture de Hegel : leçons sur la "Phénoménologie de l'esprit" professées de 1933 à 1939 à l'École des hautes études*. Paris: Gallimard, 1979.

Kojève, Alexandre. *Introduction to the reading of Hegel; lectures on the phenomenology of spirit*. Ed. Allan Bloom. Trans. James H. Nichols, Jr.. New York: Basic Books, 1969.

Kojève, Alexandre. *Les peintures concrètes de Kandinsky*. Brussels: La Lettre volée, 2001.

Kojève, Alexandre and Bryan-Paul Frost. *Outline of a phenomenology of right*. Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield, 2000.

Kojève, Alexandre. *The Concept, Time, and Discourse*. Trans. Robert B. Williamson; Intro. James H. Nichols. St. Augustine Press, 2011.

Kojève, Alexandre. *Vassily Kandinsky: correspondances avec Zervos et Kojève*. Paris: Centre Georges Pompidou, 1992.

Nichols, James H.. *Alexandre Kojève: wisdom at the end of history*. Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2007.

Tue Apr 9, 6:00pm

Panel

Pixelated Politics: Still & Moving Images in the Digital Age

Miriam Ghani, artist and writer; Lev Manovic, Digital Humanities, The Graduate Center, CUNY; Nicholas Mirzoeff, Media, Culture and Communication, New York University; Natali Musteata, Art History, The Graduate Center, CUNY; Christiane Paul, Media Studies, The New School, and New Media Arts at The Whitney Museum of American Art; McKenzie Wark, Culture and Media, The New School.

"The contemporary world is hypervisual," says media theorist Nicholas Mirzoeff. Television, computers, iPads, the Internet, and cell phones are associated with the increased distribution and reception of still and moving images. The global rise of cell phones in particular has enabled the proliferation of what filmmaker Hito Steyerl calls "poor images"—low-resolution film footage made all the more popular by platforms such as YouTube. In an age of accelerated digital imaging and communication technologies, the velocity with which information travels is such that it invests the image production with newfound power. This panel invites media scholars, curators, and artists to discuss how this endless stream of degraded, pixelated images, videos, and films has significantly altered the way we experience and understand our contemporary politicized world.

Martin E. Segal Theatre

Cosponsored by the PhD Program in Art History and Certificate Program in Film Studies.

Thu Apr 11, 6:30pm
Roundtable
Experiments in Extra-Institutional Education

Mary Walling Blackburn, Anhoek School; Jen Messier and Jonathan Soma, Brooklyn Brainery; Ajay Singh Chaudhary and Abby Kluchin, Brooklyn Institute for Social Research; Haley Mellin, Bruce High Quality Foundation University; Mark Allen, Machine Project; J. Morgan Puett, Mildred's Lane; Michael Mandiberg, New York Arts Practicum; Jon Santiago, NYC Resistor; Occupy University; Nova Benway & Taeyoon Choi, The Public School; Katherine Carl and Srdjan Jovanović Weiss, School of Missing Studies; Carla Herrera-Prats, SOMA Summer; Caroline Woolard, TradeSchool.coop. Moderated by Michael Mandiberg, College of Staten Island, CUNY.

What are the theoretical and political repercussions of education outside of a traditional classroom? Whether spurred on by a tidal wave of student debt, changes in technology, or new and nontraditional learning scenarios emerging from various academic disciplines, DIY education is on the rise. This workshop and roundtable brings together artists, educators, and researchers to present case studies of important experiments in this area to explore the future of creative learning outside of the conventional classroom, moving beyond questions of whether these alternative spaces can produce meaningful learning.

Room 9206

Cosponsored by Graduate Center Digital Initiatives and JustPublics@365.

Fri Apr 12–Sat Apr 13, all day
Conference
Hegel in and out of Russia

Keynote: Boris Groys, Russian and Slavic Studies, New York University.

How can Hegel's influence on generations of Russian thinkers be reexamined and even migrated beyond philosophy into sociocultural practices? The topics addressed at this two-day conference at the Graduate Center, CUNY, and New York University will cover poetics of everyday behavior, fashioning identity in history, mutations of narrative form, historiographic imaginaries of the modern, techniques of statecraft, and literary criticism. The far-reaching and activist nature of Russian engagement with Hegel's philosophy during the nineteenth and twentieth centuries provides us with especially rich material for continuing to pose the central Hegelian question of the relationship between the rational and the actual, while at the same time thematizing the mechanisms of transcultural reception itself.

Martin E. Segal Theatre

Saturday's events will take place at New York University. See website for conference details.

Cosponsored by the Jordan Center for Russian Studies at New York University and the Andrew W. Mellon Committee on Globalization and Social Change.

Fri Apr 19, 6:00pm

Conversation

Inventive Methods: Data, Calculation,
and Bodies

Celia Lury, Interdisciplinary Methodologies,
University of Warwick; Chris Salter, artist.

The digitization of daily life has led to an unprecedented surge of data, with vast implications for calculation, measurement, valuation, and analysis across social and cultural research. This conversation between renowned artist Chris Salter and social scientist Celia Lury will focus especially on the empiricism of sensation—how digital traces are meshed with the body—and will address questions such as how aesthetics can be put to use across disciplines, from the social sciences to political branding to the arts.

Presentations by Celia Lury and Chris Salter will be followed by an open discussion with respondents.

Room C197

Cosponsored by the Life of Things Seminar in the Humanities.

Fri Apr 26, 6:30pm

Panel

Modernism in Late Socialist Art
and Architecture

Andrew Herscher, Slavic Languages and Literatures, University of Michigan; Ana Janevski, Media and Performance Art, Museum of Modern Art; Vladimir Kulić, Architecture, Florida Atlantic University; Fabio Mattioli, Anthropology, The Graduate Center, CUNY; Ana Miljački, Architecture, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Nadia Peručić, Art History, The Graduate Center, CUNY; Srdjan Jovanović Weiss, architect; Jonah Westerman, Art History, The Graduate Center, CUNY.

What do art and architecture created in late socialist regimes tell us about the historical, political, and aesthetic trajectories of modernism? Cultural production from the 1960s and 1970s in Eastern Europe and parts of South America and Africa charted a visual and urban course that did not adhere to the postmodern discourse associated with the 1980s in the capitalist West. Instead, these architects and artists reexamined modernist forms—even formalism itself—on the cusp of great political and economic transition as well as increasing international exchange. Join panelists as they discuss artists and architects whose work provide innovations to our generally-held assumptions about modernism.

Room C198

Cosponsored by the A. Alfred Taubman College of Architecture and Urban Planning and the Department of Slavic Languages and Literatures at the University of Michigan.

Mon Apr 29, 12:00pm

Seminar

Alexandre Kojève

Stanley Aronowitz, Sociology, The Graduate Center, CUNY.

What came after Alexandre Kojève's philosophy of the end of history? This seminar will contribute to the debate about how Deleuze and Foucault are indebted to this understudied Russian-French philosopher. Kojève's lectures on Hegel after World War II in Paris were in conversation with and an influence on the work of Merleau-Ponty and Bataille, and out of these lectures, Kojève formulated his thoughts on the end of history. This seminar will convene in the James Gallery to view Kojève's collection of travel photographs and postcards and to discuss what they tell us about his worldview as he traveled the globe in the 1960s. The seminar will focus on Kojève's lecture series on Hegel, compiled as *Introductions to the Reading of Hegel: Lectures on the Phenomenology of Spirit (1969)*.

The James Gallery

The Amie and Tony James Gallery catalyzes research and knowledge production in contemporary art, and joins the Center for the Humanities' mission to create dialogue across disciplines. Located in midtown Manhattan at the nexus of the academy, contemporary art, and the city, the James Gallery brings a range of pertinent discourses into the exhibition space through innovative formats. While some exhibitions will remain on view for extended contemplation, other activities, such as performances, workshops, reading groups, roundtable discussions, salons, screenings will have a short duration. As a space for interdisciplinary artistic and discursive activities, the gallery works with scholars, students, artists and the public to explore working methods that may lie outside usual disciplinary practices.

The Center for the Humanities at The Graduate Center, CUNY, was founded in 1993 as a forum for people who take ideas seriously inside and outside the academy. Through its public programs, seminars, conferences, publications and exhibitions, the Center puts CUNY students and faculty from various disciplines into dialogue with each other as well as with prominent journalists, artists, and civic leaders to promote the humanities and foster intellectual community across the city.

Special thanks to Gwen Parry, Claire Bishop, Maria Hlavajova, Michael Byers, John Flaherty, Erik Madsen, Cristian Vimer, John Chianese, Ray Ring, Chris Lowery, Darryll Adams, Joshua Schwartz, Kyle Lanning Smith, Danyel Bilenkis, and Jennifer Wilkinson.

All photographs Courtesy Bibliothèque nationale de France. © Nina Kousnetzoff.

Reprint of "The Photographer as the Sage" courtesy of the author and BAK Utrecht

Design by MTWTF, New York